

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/574,752	Applicant(s) LUO ET AL.
	Examiner Q. JANICE LI	Art Unit 1633

All Participants: **Status of Application:** _____

(1) Q. JANICE LI, PTO. (3) _____.

(2) Talivaldis Cepuritis, Appl. Rep. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 March 2009 **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Q. JANICE LI/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1633

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that pending claims are allowable, but the priority claims are missing from the specification and the abstract is not on a separate sheet away from other content of the specification. Mr. Cepuritis agreed to correct the issues with an examiner's amendment. .